



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/794,851    02/04/97    BARANY    F    19603/461 (CR)

18N2/0112

MICHAEL L. GOLDMAN  
NIXON HARGRAVE DEVANS AND DOYLE  
CLINTON SQUARE  
P O BOX 1051  
ROCHESTER NY 14603

EXAMINER

RICI GLIANO, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1818

DATE MAILED:

01/12/98

Pl ase find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/794,851

Applicant(s)

Barany et al.

Examiner

Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D.

Group Art Unit

1818



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph W. Ricigliano Ph. D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Bernice Mancuso

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 7, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None, only the mailing date of the FAOM.

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Bernice Mancuso, a para legal for the Nixon, Hargrave, Devans and Doyle called 1/6/98 to inform me that no cover sheet had been mailed with the First action on the merits. She wished to know the date of mailing so the response date could be determined. I gave her the date from PALM as 12/16/97 and sent a Fax copy of the cover sheet to the firm on 1/7/98

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

*Joseph W. Ricigliano*  
Joseph W. Ricigliano